Sarawak's Forests: Myths & Reality

1. The state of Sarawak's forests

**CLAIMS:**
- Taib claims that "Sarawak's forests are still as luxuriant as ever", and that this is demonstrated by Google Earth satellite images.
- Sarawak forest officials claim that 84% of Sarawak remains forested, while Taib has claimed that 70% of the State's forests are 'preserved'.

**REALITY:**
- The 84% figure includes areas of monoculture oil palm and exotic timber plantations; in fact only 65% of the land still has natural forest cover.
- Google Earth satellite images clearly show that the vast majority of the remaining natural forest has been heavily degraded by multiple rounds of selective logging and is criss-crossed by a spider's web of logging roads (see image).
- Environmental Impact Assessments of such areas describe them as being "beyond recovery".
- Satellite images show that only 5 per cent of Sarawak's forests remain in a pristine state (unaffected by logging or plantations).
- Independent analysis of satellite images shows Sarawak has a higher deforestation rate than any other major tropical timber producer and that the deforestation rate is increasing.

2. Forest conservation

**CLAIMS:**
- Sarawak officials claim that 1 million hectares of Sarawak's forest is 'totally protected', with no logging allowed.
- Sarawak officials have claimed that the State is the 'biggest contributor' to the 'Heart of Borneo' tri-national conservation project led by WWF.

**REALITY:**
- Only 0.5 million hectares is actually protected.
- A further 0.5 million hectares has been 'proposed' for protection for decades.
- 'Proposed' protected areas are currently being logged and clear-felled for oil palm (see image).
- Some genuine protected areas were heavily degraded by logging before designation.
- The 1990 official International Tropical Timber Organisation (ITTO) mission concluded that even 1 million hectares would be 'inadequate to preserve the full range of biological diversity in Sarawak'.
- Only ¼ of Sarawak's part of the 'Heart of Borneo' is in a pristine state; another ¼ is licensed for clear-felling.
- More virgin forest was degraded in Sarawak's...
Heart of Borneo during 2005-2010 than in Indonesian Borneo, Sabah and Brunei combined\textsuperscript{xiii}.

Proposed national park, clear-felled for oil palm, 2011\textsuperscript{xiii}

3. Sustainable forest management

CLAIMS:
- Taib claims that Sarawak practices 'sustainable forest management': felling only small numbers of larger trees in a low-impact manner and then leaving the forest to recover for 25 years\textsuperscript{xix}

REALITY:
- Environmental Impact Assessments demonstrate that Sarawak's forests are regularly re-logged after much less than 25 years\textsuperscript{xx}.
- Even the 25-year cycle is considered by experts to be insufficient to allow the forest to recover\textsuperscript{xxi}.
- Investigations by Global Witness and others have shown that regulations meant to ensure selective logging impact is minimised (such as minimum sizes for logged trees, maximum widths for logging roads and prohibitions on logging in steep slope areas) are routinely flouted by the major logging companies in Sarawak\textsuperscript{xxii}.
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'Sustainable forest management' in a steep slope area, 2009\textsuperscript{xxii}

4. International support

CLAIMS:
- Taib claims that the International Tropical Timber Organisation (ITTO) has 'certified' Sarawak's forest management policy & practices and described these as 'one of the best in the world'\textsuperscript{xxiv}.
- Taib claims that the ITTO's mission to Sarawak in 1990 'agreed generally speaking with what we were doing before that'\textsuperscript{xxv}.
- Taib claims Sarawak is following ITTO advice by restricting logging to 9.2 million cubic metres/year\textsuperscript{xxvi}.

REALITY:
- ITTO has never 'certified' Sarawak's forest management policy or practices.
- The ITTO mission in 1990 found that Sarawak's forests were being logged at double the sustainable rate and 'in a manner which is damaging to the environment'\textsuperscript{xxvii}.
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- ITTO's recommended maximum annual logging volume was based on the existing area of permanent forest estate (PFE), which has reduced by more than one quarter since 1990xviii.
- Adjusting for the reduced PFE, and accounting for standard logging practice in Sarawak, a 'sustainable' harvest (consistent with ITTO definitions) would be 4 million cubic metersxxix.
- Actual log production in Sarawak has averaged 13 million cubic metres in the 20 years since the ITTO recommendationxxx.
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Clearance by Shin Yang inside proposed Danum Linau National Park. See Global Witness, 'In the future, there will be no forests left', Nov 2012, p11-13


Analysis by Global Witness of numerous Environmental Impact Assessments for ‘re-entry’ logging in individual logging concessions in Sarawak between 1996 and 2010
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Global Witness calculation, using ITTO 1990 methodology, adjusting to reduced PFE area of 3 million hectares (compared with the 4.5 million assumed by ITTO) and assuming no silvicultural treatment of logged forests